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#### Abstract

Three new triterpenoid glycosides, named puberosides $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3})$, were isolated from the water-soluble fraction of Glochidion puberum (Linn.) Hutch. Their structures were determined as $3 \alpha-[(O-\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $O$ - $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]$22 \alpha$-trans-cinnamoyl-olean-12-ene-16 $\alpha, 28$-diol, $\quad 3 \alpha$-[( $O$ - $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-O- $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]-22 $\alpha$-cis-cinnamoyl-olean-12-ene-16 $\alpha$,28-diol, and $3 \alpha-[(O$ - $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$-O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl)oxy]-22 $\alpha$-benzoy-loxy-olean-12-ene-16 $\alpha, 28$-diol by the combination of 1D, 2D NMR, and MS spectral analyses.
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## 1. Introduction

The genus Glochidion of the family Euphorbiaceae comprises approximately 300 species that are mainly distributed in tropical Asia. G. puberum (Linn.) Hutch, a shrub belonging to the genus Glochidion, is widely distributed in China [1]. The roots, stems, leaves, and fruits of $G$. puberum are used in traditional Chinese medicine to treat dysentery, diarrhea, influenza, fever, cough, impaludism, rheumatoid arthritis, and dyspepsia. It was reported that some triterpenes from Glochidion had been found to possess antitumor-promoting and cytotoxic activities [2,3]. Previous studies also revealed that the EtOH extracts of genus Glochidion were shown to exhibit significant DPPH-radical-scavenging activity [4]. To find potentially bioactive secondary
metabolites from this genus, we investigated the chemical constituents of this species, which led to the isolation of three triterpenoid glycosides, puberosides $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}$ ( $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ ) (see Figure 1). This paper deals with the isolation and structural determination of these compounds from the dried aerial parts of G. puberum.

## 2. Results and discussion

Puberoside C (1) was isolated as a white powder. Its molecular formula was established as $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ by negative HR-ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$. $933.4750[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$, calcd 933.4767). The IR absorption spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl group ( $3423 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), carbonyl group ( $1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and aromatic ring ( 1580 and $1451 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of $\mathbf{1}$ with those of puberoside
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Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1-3.

A [5] indicated that both compounds have two monosaccharides and a substituted triterpenoid moiety. The similarity of chemical shifts of two monosaccharides in the two compounds suggested that both compounds have the same sugar moiety, as further confirmed by 2D NMR studies. Though comparison with known compound puberoside A implied that 1 might have a structure similar to that of puberoside A, $\mathbf{1}$ had more than one degree of unsaturation than puberoside A , according
to its molecular formula. Analysis of DEPT and HSQC spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ also showed that $\mathbf{1}$ had two additional methines compared with puberoside A. Moreover, analysis of the HMBC spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ showed that $\mathbf{1}$ had a cinnamic substituted triterpenoid moiety. The trans-isomer of cinnamoyl in $\mathbf{1}$ was reasonably deduced by comparing the coupling constant $(J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ with that in the literature [6]. The HMBC correlations of $\mathrm{H}-22 / \mathrm{C}-16, \mathrm{C}-18, \mathrm{C}-20$, and $\mathrm{C}-9^{\prime}$, together with $\mathrm{H}-7^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}-9^{\prime}$ and
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Figure 2. Key HMBC correlations of 1-3.
$\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$, C-9', showed that the triterpenoid moiety and cinnamoyl were linked through ester bond as shown in Figure 2.

The relative configuration of triterpenoid moiety in $\mathbf{1}$ was demonstrated by the ROESY spectrum. ROESY correlations of $\mathrm{H}-3 / \mathrm{H}-24$ and $\mathrm{H}-16 / \mathrm{H}-28$ suggested that hydroxyl groups at C-3 and C-16 were $\alpha$-oriented. The correlations between $\mathrm{H}-16$ and $\mathrm{H}-22$ in the ROESY spectrum revealed $\mathrm{H}-22$ to be $\beta$-configuration, which indicated $\alpha$-configuration of hydroxyl group at C-22. Thus, the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was established as
$3 \alpha-[(O-\beta-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{glucopyranosyl} 1-(1 \rightarrow 3)-O-\alpha-$ L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]-22 $\alpha$-trans-cin-namoyl-olean-12-ene-16 $\alpha, 28$-diol.

Puberoside D (2) was obtained as a white powder, and its molecular formula, established as $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{14}$, was determined by the $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$ion peak at $m / z 933.4766$ (calcd 933.4767) in the HR-ESI-MS. The IR absorption spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl group ( $3418 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), carbonyl group ( $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and aromatic ring (1452, 771 , and $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data for 2 were similar to those of $\mathbf{1}$, with the only
exception being that the coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}-7^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ was not the same. The cis-isomer of alkene in $\mathbf{2}$ is reasonably deduced by comparing the coupling constant ( $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) with that in the literature [7]. The relative configuration of $\mathbf{2}$ was suggested to be the same as that of $\mathbf{1}$, on the basis of ROESY data. Thus, the structure of $\mathbf{2}$ was determined as $3 \alpha$-[(O- $\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $O-\alpha-$ L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]-22 $\alpha$-cis-cinna-moyl-olean-12-ene-16 $\alpha, 28$-diol.

The molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ was assigned to puberoside $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{3})$ from its HR-ESI-MS peak at $m / z 937.4702[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$. The IR spectrum showed strong absorption bands at $3419,1700,1603,1585$, and $1452 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, suggesting the presence of hydroxyl, carbonyl groups, and aromatic ring, respectively. Comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of $\mathbf{3}$ with those of puberoside A [5] suggested that their structures are closely similar. The only difference between them was that the sugar moieties of $\mathbf{3}$ possessed one more $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ unit when compared with that of puberoside A [5]. Analysis of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and DEPT NMR spectra indicated that two methylenes ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 62.7$ and 62.6 ) could be found in $\mathbf{3}$, whereas only one methylene ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 62.3$ ) could be found in puberoside A. Furthermore, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 3 (Table 1) supported the presence of two glucopyranose moieties. The $\beta$-configuration of anomeric protons of two glucopyranoses was determined by the coupling constants ( 7.9 and 7.7 Hz ) of anomeric protons [8]. The HMBC correlation between $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime \prime}$ confirmed the $1 \rightarrow 3$ linkage of the two sugars. The linkage of glycosidation at $\mathrm{C}-3$ was confirmed by an HMBC experiment, which showed a long-range correlation between C-3 ( $\delta$ 90.7) and the anomeric proton $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}(\delta 4.39)$ of glucose. The relative configuration of $\mathbf{3}$ was suggested to be the same as that of $\mathbf{1}$ by the ROESY spectrum. Thus, the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ was elucidated as $3 \alpha-[(O-\beta-D-g l u c o p y r a n o s y l-$
( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl)oxy]-22 $\alpha$ -benzoyloxy-olean-12-ene-16 $\alpha, 28$-diol.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1 General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were recorded on a Horiba SEPA-300 high-sensitive polarimeter. IR spectra were measured on a BioRad FTS-135 spectrometer with KBr pellets. UV spectra were measured on a Shimadzu 2401 PC. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AM-400 or DPX-500 NMR spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard. ESI-MS and HR-ESIMS spectra were recorded using a VG Auto Spec-3000 spectrometer. Column chromatography was carried out on MCI GEL CHP20P (Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and silica gel (200300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Plant, Qingdao, China); semipreparative HPLC was carried out using an Agilent 1100 liquid chromatograph equipped with a Zorbax SB-C $_{18}$ column ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, i.d. $9.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, Agilent Co., Ltd, Wilmington, DE, USA), eluted with a liner gradient of $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $10-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}, 17 \mathrm{~min}$; flow rate, $2.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$; detection, UV 254 nm ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TLC was carried out with glass precoated with silica gel GF254.

### 3.2 Plant material

The aerial parts of G. puberum were collected in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan Province of China, in March 2004. The plant was identified by Prof. De-Ding Tao (Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences), and a voucher specimen (Kun No. 0615419) is deposited at the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in west China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

### 3.3 Extraction and isolation

The dried aerial parts of G. puberum ( 6 kg ) were extracted with hot $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. After
Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(400 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(100 \mathrm{~Hz})$ NMR spectral data of compounds $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \mathrm{ppm}, J\right.$ in Hz$)$.

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz) | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ |
| 1 | 39.9 (t) | 1.77 (m) | 39.9 (t) | 1.89 (m) | 38.3 (t) | 1.89 (m) |
|  |  | 0.99 (m) |  | 0.98 (m) |  | 0.99 (br s) |
| 2 | 27.2 (t) | 1.89 (m) | 27.3 (t) | 1.83 (m) | 27.5 (t) | 1.89 (m) |
|  |  | 1.73 (m) |  | 1.69 (m) |  | 1.77 (m) |
| 3 | 89.1 (d) | 3.16 (dd, $J=9.2,3.6)$ | 90.4 (d) | 3.17 (dd, $J=9.6,3.2)$ | 90.7 (d) | 3.21 (dd, $J=9.6,3.6)$ |
| 4 | 39.9 (s) |  | 40.3 (s) |  | 40.2 (s) |  |
| 5 | 55.7 (d) | 0.81 (br s) | 56.9 (d) | 0.84 (br s) | 56.9 (d) | 0.82 (br s) |
| 6 | 18.0 (t) | 1.62 (m) | 19.3 (t) | 1.65 (m) | 19.3 (t) | 1.60 (m) |
|  |  | 1.59 (m) |  | 1.50 (m) |  | 1.51 (m) |
| 7 | 33.0 (t) | 1.41 (m) | 34.3 (t) | 1.40 (m) | 33.6 (t) | 1.42 (m) |
|  |  | 1.64 (m) |  | 1.67 (m) | 33.6 (t) | 1.65 (m) |
| 8 | 42.0 (s) |  | 41.2 (s) |  | 39.8 (s) |  |
| 9 | 48.1 (d) | 1.59 (m) | 48.1 (d) | 1.58 (m) | 48.2 (d) | 1.64 (m) |
| 10 | 36.4 (s) |  | 37.7 (s) |  | 37.6 (s) |  |
| 11 | 23.4 (t) | 1.42 (m) | 24.6 (t) | 1.61 (m) | 24.7 (t) | 1.98 (m) |
|  |  |  |  | 1.42 (m) |  |  |
| 12 | 122.9 (d) | 5.32 (br s) | 124.1 (d) | 5.32 (br s) | 124.3 (d) | 5.36 (br s) |
| 13 | 142.2 (s) |  | 136.4 (s) |  | 143.5 (s) |  |
| 14 | 43.3 (s) |  | 44.2 (s) |  | 44.2 (s) |  |
| 15 | 36.0 (t) | 1.97 (m) | 37.6 (t) | 1.99 (m) | 37.6 (t) | 1.99 (m) |
|  |  | 1.54 (m) |  | 1.51 (m) |  | 1.50 (m) |
| 16 | 69.9 (d) | 4.27(br s) | 69.4 (d) | 4.25 (br s) | 69.4 (d) | 4.30 (br s) |
| 17 | 42.9 (s) |  | 44.4 (s) |  | 43.5 (s) |  |
| 18 | 41.9 (d) | 2.41 (m) | 43.1(d) | 2.40 (br s) | 43.4 (d) | 2.45 (dd, $J=11.2,3.6)$ |
| 19 | 45.9 (t) | 1.84 (m) | 47.1 (t) | 1.86 (m) | 47.2 (t) | 1.94 (m) |
|  |  | 1.18 (m) |  | 1.18 (br s) |  | 1.24 (m) |
| 20 | 29.8 (s) |  | 31.1 (s) |  | 31.0 (s) |  |
| 21 | 39.9 (t) | 1.57 (m) | 37.7 (t) | 1.50 (m) | 39.9 (t) | 1.95 (m) |
|  |  | 1.01 (br s) |  | 1.00 (m) |  | 1.02 (m) |
| 22 | 70.8 (d) | 5.77 (br s) | 72.1 (d) | 5.77 (br s) | 72.1 (d) | 5.91 (br s) |
| 23 | 29.8 (q) | 1.06 (3H, s) | 28.5 (q) | 1.08 (3H, s) | 28.5 (q) | 1.07 (3H, s) |
| 24 | 16.0 (q) | 0.86 (3H, s) | 17.0 (q) | $0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 17.0 (q) | $0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |

Table 1 - continued

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz) | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ |
| 25 | 14.9 (q) | 0.98 (3H, s) | 16.1 (q) | 0.98 (3H, s) | 16.2 (q) | $0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 26 | 15.7 (q) | 1.05 (3H, s) | 17.3 (q) | $1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 17.3 (q) | 1.07 (3H, s) |
| 27 | 27.2 (q) | 1.28 (3H, s) | 27.9 (q) | 1.32 (3H, s) | 27.9 (q) | $1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 28 | 65.3 (t) | 3.54 (m) | 64.4 (t) | 3.54 (br s) | 64.7 (t) | 3.67 (br s) |
|  |  | 3.85 (m) |  | 3.89 (d, $J=11.0)$ |  | $4.02(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.8)$ |
| 29 | 33.0 (q) | 0.93 (3H, s) | 33.6 (q) | 0.93 (3H, s) | 34.3 (q) | $0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 30 | 27.2 (q) | 1.06 (3H, s) | 27.3 (q) | $1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 27.5 (q) | 1.03 (3H, s) |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 134.5 (s) |  | 136.4 (s) |  | 132.2 (s) |  |
| $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ | 127.9 (d) | 7.61 (m) | 130.9 (d) | 7.68 (br s) | 130.5 (d) | 8.05 (d, $J=7.3)$ |
| $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ | 128.8 (d) | 7.41 (m) | 129.1 (d) | 7.39 (br s) | 129.6 (d) | 7.49 (dd, $J=7.3,7.6)$ |
| $4{ }^{\prime}$ | 130.2 (d) | 7.41 (m) | 130.0 (d) | 7.39 (br s) | 134.1 (d) | 7.60 (dd, $J=7.3,7.6)$ |
| $7{ }^{\prime}$ | 144.7 (d) | 7.67 (d, $J=16.0)$ | 143.9 (d) | 7.05 (d, $J=12.7)$ | 167.2 (s) |  |
| $8^{\prime}$ | 118.4 (d) | 6.66 (d, $J=16.0)$ | 121.2 (d) | 6.06 (d, $J=12.7)$ |  |  |
| $9^{\prime}$ | 166.7 (s) |  | 167.3 (s) |  |  |  |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 105.8 (d) | 4.35 (d, $J=7.3$ ) | 107.1 (d) | 4.35 (d, $J=7.7)$ | 106.3 (d) | 4.39 (d, $J=7.9)$ |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 74.1 (d) | 3.30 (br s) | 72.1 (d) | 3.35 (m) | 75.4 (d) | 3.37 (br s) |
| 3 " | 82.6 (d) | 3.63 (m) | 83.8 (d) | 3.64 (m) | 88.1 (d) | 3.55 (br s) |
| $4^{\prime \prime}$ | 69.9 (d) | 4.02 (br s) | 69.5 (d) | 4.03 (br s) | 71.5 (d) | 3.27 (m) |
| $5^{\prime \prime}$ | 65.4 (t) | 3.82 (m) | 66.7 (t) | 3.87 (m) | 78.2 (d) | 3.42 (br s) |
|  |  | 3.52 (m) |  | 3.53 (m) |  |  |
| $6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  | 62.7 (t) | 3.89 (m) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.69 (m) |
| $1^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 104.1 (d) | 4.55 (d, $J=7.6)$ | 105.4 (d) | 4.61 (d, $J=7.5)$ | 105.3 (d) | 4.58 (d, $J=7.7$ ) |
| $2^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 74.1 (d) | 3.35 (br s) | 75.3 (d) | 3.30 (br s) | 75 (d) | 3.37 (br s) |
| $3^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 77.4 (d) | 3.31 (br s) | 77.7 (d) | 3.28 (br s) | 75.5 (d) | 3.31 (br s) |
| $4^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 70.8 (d) | 3.33 (m) | 71.2 (d) | 3.31 (m) | 70.4 (d) | 3.31 (m) |
| $5^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 77.6 (d) | 3.29 (br s) | 77.9 (d) | 3.27 (m) | 77.8 (d) | 3.33 (br s) |
| $6^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 61.1 (t) | 3.83 (m) | 62.4 (t) | 3.80 (m) | 62.6 (t) | 3.86 (m) |
|  |  | 3.68 (m) |  | 3.62 (m) |  | 3.70 (m) |

[^1]the removal of EtOH in vacuo, the visco extract was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then partitioned with petroleum ether and EtOAc successively. The water-soluble fraction ( 78 g ) was subjected to MCI GEL, eluting stepwise with $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (from 3:7 to $1: 0$ ), to give four parts. The second part ( 17 g ) was further subjected to a silica gel column, eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : MeOH (from 9:1 to 7:3), to give three fractions (I-III). Then fraction II $(0.13 \mathrm{~g})$ was finally purified by HPLC (see Section 3.1) to afford compounds $\mathbf{1}$ ( 7 mg ), $\mathbf{2}$ $(5 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathbf{3}(9 \mathrm{mg})$, respectively.

### 3.3.1 Puberoside C (1)

A white powder. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{26.0}+14.29(c=0.14$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 388$ (2.51), 277 (4.84), 222 (4.74), 216 (4.79), 205 (4.85), and 192 (4.64) nm. IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }}: 3423,1705,1580,1451 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data see Table 1. HR-ESI-MS: $m / z$ 933.4750 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{Cl}, 933.4767$ ).

### 3.3.2 Puberoside D (2)

A white powder. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25.9}+50.00$ $\left(c=0.06, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}$ $(\log \varepsilon) 394$ (2.61), 271 (4.57), and 204 (4.93) nm. IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }}: 3418$, 1720, 1452, 771, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 1.

HR-ESI-MS: $m / z 933.4766[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{Cl}, 933.4767$ ).

### 3.3.3 Puberoside E (3)

A white powder. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.9}+18.57$ ( $c=0.35, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ). UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}$ $(\log \varepsilon) 272$ (3.85), 227 (4.76), 202 (4.88), and 194 (4.52) nm. IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }}: 3419$, $1700,1603,1585,1452 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data, see Table 1. HR-ESI-MS: m/z $937.4702[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}, 937.4716$ ).
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[^1]:    Note: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Recorded at 500 MHz .

